

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,975	06/27/2005	Kiyohito Murata	07057.0105-00000	9214
22852 FINNEGAN 1	7590 05/12/201 HENDERSON FARAE	0 BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAM	UNER
LLP			BALL, JOHN C	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Wilding Sc 2001 THE		1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Notice of Abandonment	10/540,975	MURATA, KIYOHITO					
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	J. CHRISTOPHER BALL	1795					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
<ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>03 November 2009</u>.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ul>							
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of						
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>							
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).							
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.							
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>							
☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.							
7 ☐ The reason(s) below:							

/Nam X Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1753

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Case was confirmed as abandoned per docketing at applicant's law firm on 05/07/2010.